

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/700,279	11/03/2003	Jun Yan	MVIS 02-24	4514
7590 06/17/2005			EXAMINER	
Microvision, Inc.			TAMAI, KARL I	
PO Box 3008				
Bothell, WA	98041		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2834	
			DATE MAILED: 06/17/200	5

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/700,279	YAN ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Tamai IE Karl	2834				
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a received in the second for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by stature than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).		nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	•					
<u> </u>	is action is non-final.					
,—						
Disposition of Claims						
<ul> <li>4)⊠ Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) 14-20 is/are withdra</li> <li>5)□ Claim(s) is/are allowed.</li> <li>6)⊠ Claim(s) 1-8 is/are rejected.</li> <li>7)⊠ Claim(s) 9-13 is/are objected to.</li> <li>8)□ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/</li> </ul>	wn from consideration.					
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examin 10)☒ The drawing(s) filed on <u>03 November 2003</u> is/ Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examin	(are: a) $□$ accepted or b) $⊠$ object e drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Section is required if the drawing(s) is object.	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig  a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:  1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documer  2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documer  3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the pri application from the International Bures  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	nts have been received. nts have been received in Applicationity documents have been received au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage				
Attachment(s)	_					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date.						
<ul> <li>Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (P10-948)</li> <li>Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 Paper No(s)/Mail Date 1/13/2004.</li> </ul>		Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Art Unit: 2834

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

### Election/Restrictions

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
  - Claims 1-13, drawn to scanner with electrostatic and magnetic actuators, classified in class 310, subclass 309.
  - Claims 14-20, drawn to a scanner with two magnetic actuators, classified in class 310, subclass 40mm.
- 2. Inventions Group I and Group II are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different modes of operation, different functions, or different effects (MPEP § 806.04, MPEP § 808.01). In the instant case the different inventions Group I has modes of operation including both electrostatic and magnetic actuators while group II has a only a magnetic modes of operation.
- 3. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and the electrostatic search required for Group I is not required for Group II, and the two magnetic coils search of Group II is not required for Group I, AND these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, therefore the restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Art Unit: 2834

4. During a telephone conversation with Christopher Wiklof on 6/9/2005 a provisional election was made without traverse to prosecute the invention of Group I, claims 1-13. Affirmation of this election must be made by applicant in replying to this Office action. Claims 14-20 are withdrawn from further consideration by the examiner, 37 CFR 1.142(b), as being drawn to a non-elected invention.

5. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

# Specification

- 6. The lengthy specification has not been checked to the extent necessary to determine the presence of all possible minor errors. Applicant's cooperation is requested in correcting any errors of which applicant may become aware in the specification.
- 7. The title of the invention is not descriptive. A new title is required that is clearly indicative of the invention to which the claims are directed.

Art Unit: 2834

## **Drawings**

8. The drawings are objected to under 37 CFR 1.83(a). The drawings must show every feature of the invention specified in the claims. Therefore, the first capacitive plate of the first actuator on the torsion arm and a second capacitive plate positioned to produce an electrostatic force therewith (claim 7) must be shown or the feature canceled from the claims. No new matter should be entered.

Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. The figure or figure number of an amended drawing should not be labeled as "amended." If a drawing figure is to be canceled, the appropriate figure must be removed from the replacement sheet, and where necessary, the remaining figures must be renumbered and appropriate changes made to the brief description of the several views of the drawings for consistency. Additional replacement sheets may be necessary to show the renumbering of the remaining figures. Each drawing sheet submitted after the filling date of an application must be labeled in the top margin as either "Replacement Sheet" or "New Sheet" pursuant to 37 CFR 1.121(d). If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Art Unit: 2834

## Claim Objections

9. Claim 7 is objected to because of the following informalities: claim 7 does not provide antecedent basis for "the movable mass". Appropriate correction is required.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 10. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
  - The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.
- 11. Claims 7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventors, at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention. The specification does not have a full, clear, concise, and exact written description of the first actuator being a capacitive plate on the torsion arm AND being magnetically coupled to the first driver element (claim 7). The examiner notes the electrostatic actuator is the second driver/actuator elements in claim 1, not the first driver/actuator. The specification does not contain a written description for the first capacitive plate on the torsion arm and a second capacitive plate to produce an electrostatic force therewith (claim 7).

Art Unit: 2834

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 12. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 13. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).
- 14. Claims 1, 2, and 4-7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Neukermans et al. (Neukermans)(US 6122394) and Ueda et al. (Ueda)(US 6262827). Neukermans teaches an optical scanner with a scan plate 56 rotatably coupled to the base with a first 92 actuator element mechanically coupled to the scan plate (movable mass) and a second actuator element integrally formed with the scan plate(moving electrode) with a magnetic first driver element 86 and a capacitive second driver element 106. Neukermans teaches leads 96 for the coil (first actuator) coupled to the torsion arm. Neukermans teaches every aspect of the invention except the second

Page 7

Application/Control Number: 10/700,279

Art Unit: 2834

actuator element mechanically couple to the scan plate. Ueda teaches a mirror actuator having the electrodes separate from the mirror to provide independent control of the electrodes. It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to construct the actuator of Neukermans with the electrodes mechanically coupled to the scan plate to provide independent control of the actuator electrodes and because it has been held that making a formally integral structure in various elements involves only routine skill in the art (*Nerwin v. Erlichman*, 168 USPQ 177, 179).

15. Claims 3 and 8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Neukermans et al. (Neukermans)(US 6122394) and Ueda et al. (Ueda)(US 6262827), in further view of Izeki et al. (Izeki)(JP 2000147419). Neukermans and Ueda teach every aspect of the invention except the capacitive actuator having a movable comb. Izeki teaches comb actuators parallel plate actuators to obtain a high speed and at a wide deflecting angle even under low driving power and also making a reflection mirror part have very high rigidity. It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to construct the actuator of Neukermans and Ueda with the electrodes being combs to having low power and wide deflection angle.

Art Unit: 2834

Allowable Subject Matter

16. Claims 9-13 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but

would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the

base claim and any intervening claims.

17. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Karl I.E. Tamai whose telephone number is (571) 272 -

2036.

The examiner can be normally contacted on Monday through Friday from 8:00

am to 4:00 pm. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the

examiner's supervisor, Mr. Darren Schuberg, can be reached at (571) 272 - 2044. The

facsimile number for the Group is (703) 872 - 9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the

Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for

published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR.

Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only.

For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should

you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Karl I Tamai PRIMARY PATENT EXAMINER

June 11, 2005

KARL TAMAI PRIMARY EXAMINER